### Rec'd PCT/PTO 14 APR 2005

## PATENT COOPERATION TREETY

### **PCT**

REC'D 0 2 FEB 2005

WIPO

PCT .

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P-2670WO International application No. PCT/EP 03/50680			ent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416) International filing date (day/month/year) 02.10.2003 Priority date (day/month/year) 14.10.2002					
									7
	national		nt Classification (IPC) or bo	oth national classification a	nd IPC				
Appl EUf		EAN (	COMMUNITY, represe	ented by THE					
1.	This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.								
2.	This	REP	ORT consists of a total of	of 5 sheets, including thi	is cove	r sheet.			
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).					which have is Authority			
	The	se anı	nexes consist of a total o	of sheets.					
3.	This	repoi	t contains indications re	lating to the following its					
•	•	M	•	iating to the following he	ms:				
-	} }1	<b>⊠</b>	Basis of the opinion	iaung to the following ite	ms:				
	} }}  }		Basis of the opinion Priority	•		oventive sten	and industrial an	nlicability	
-	11		Basis of the opinion Priority	opinion with regard to no		nventive step	and industrial ap	plicability	·
•	11 131		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of c Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement u	opinion with regard to no	ovelty, i	d to novelty, i	•		plicability;
·	       V		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of c Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement u	opinion with regard to no on ander Rule 66.2(a)(ii) wit ons supporting such sta	ovelty, i	d to novelty, i	•		· plicability;
	       V    V		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of o Lack of unity of inventi Reasoned statement u citations and explanati Certain documents cite	opinion with regard to no on ander Rule 66.2(a)(ii) wit ons supporting such sta	ovelty, i	d to novelty, i	•		plicability;
	II III IV V		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of o Lack of unity of inventi Reasoned statement u citations and explanati Certain documents cite	opinion with regard to no on ander Rule 66.2(a)(ii) wit ons supporting such sta ed nternational application	ovelty, in h regar tement	d to novelty, i	•		plicability;
Date	II III IV V VI VIII		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of o Lack of unity of inventi Reasoned statement u citations and explanati Certain documents cite Certain defects in the i	opinion with regard to no on ander Rule 66.2(a)(ii) wit ons supporting such sta ed nternational application	nvelty, in regar tement cation	d to novelty, i	nventive step or i		plicability;
	II III IV V VI VIII	□ ⊠ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of o Lack of unity of inventi Reasoned statement u citations and explanati Certain documents cite Certain defects in the i Certain observations o	opinion with regard to no on ander Rule 66.2(a)(ii) wit ons supporting such sta ed nternational application	nvelty, in regar tement cation	d to novelty, i	nventive step or i		plicability;
19.0	II III IV V VI VIII VIII 03.200	□ ⊠ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of o Lack of unity of inventi Reasoned statement u citations and explanati Certain documents cite Certain defects in the i Certain observations o	opinion with regard to no on inder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) wit ons supporting such sta ed nternational application in the international applic	h regartement cation  Date of	d to novelty, i	nventive step or i		plicability;

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/50680

I.	Basis	of the	report
----	-------	--------	--------

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	cription, Pages			
	1-10		as originally filed		
	Clai	ms, Numbers	·		
	1-11		as originally filed		
2.	<ol> <li>With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in t language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.</li> </ol>				
	The	se elements were ava	ilable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:		
		the language of a trai	nslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).		
		the language of publi	cation of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).		
		the language of a train Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	nslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under s).		
3.	3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:				
		contained in the inter	national application in written form.		
		filed together with the	e international application in computer readable form.		
		furnished subsequen	tly to this Authority in written form.		
		furnished subsequen	tly to this Authority in computer readable form.		
		The statement that the in the international ap	ne subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure oplication as filed has been furnished.		
		The statement that the listing has been furni	ne information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence shed.		
4.	The	amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:		
		the description,	pages:		
		the claims,	Nos.:		
		the drawings,	sheets:		
5.		This report has been been considered to g	established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have to beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).		
		(Any replacement sh	eet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this		
6.	Ado	litional observations, i	f necessary:		

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

. 1.

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/50680

Hſ.	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:

		the entire international application,						
	$\boxtimes$	d claims Nos. 11						
		because:						
	×		oplication, or the said claims Nos. 11 relate to the following subject matter which ernational preliminary examination (specify):					
		see separate sheet						
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so und that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):							
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opin could be formed.				ly supported by the description that no meaningful opinion			
		no international search report	has be	en establish	ed for the said claims Nos.			
2.	or a	meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and/ amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative structions:						
		the written form has not been	furnish	ed or does r	ot comply with the Standard.			
		the computer readable form h	as not	been furnish	ed or does not comply with the Standard.			
٧.		soned statement under Artic tions and explanations supp			rd to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; nent			
1.	Sta	tement						
	Nov	velty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-10			
	Inve	entive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-10			
	Indi	ustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-10			
2.	Cita	tions and explanations						

Form PCT/PFA/ANG (January 200A)

see separate sheet

#### Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

1. Claim 11 relates to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no international preliminary examination will be made in respect of this claim (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 2. The following documents; D1 to D5 are referred to in this communication; this designation results from the order of citation found in the International Search Report and will be adhered to in the rest of the procedure. Reference to the passage(s) cited in respect of each citation in the search report will be made unless otherwise specified.
- 3. None of the documents cited in the present search report disclose an intravascular stent comprising an enzyme capable of catabolizing cholesterol/lipids and/or cells that produce such an enzyme. Hence, the subject matter of Claims 1 to 10 is new and meets the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.
- The subject matter of Claims 1 to 10 is considered to be inventive for the following reasons;

the present claims are directed towards intravascular stents comprising a cholesterol/lipid catabolizing enzyme or cells producing such an enzyme. On the basis of the present disclosure (see the first paragraph of the description) it appears that the use of such enzymes prevents obstructive artherosclerotic lesions and restenosis. The closest prior art appears to be any of documents D1 to D3. These documents each disclose that stents may be coated with cholesterol reducing agents. Although no particular agents are mentioned it would appear that such agents would provide broadly the same technical effects as the present invention, *i.e* prevention of obstructive artherosclerotic lesions and restenosis. Thus the objective problem to be

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/EP 03/50680 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

solved in respect of the present invention appears to be "How to prevent obstructive artherosclerotic lesions and restenosis". The Applicant has solved this problem by selecting enzymes (or cells producing these enzymes) as the cholesterol/lipid reducing agents. There is no teaching or suggestion in any of the prior art documents (D1 to D5) towards the use of cholesterol/lipid catabolizing enzymes for preventing artherosclerotic lesions and restenosis. This authority does not consider that cholesterol / lipid catabolizing agents would have inevitably been considered by one of skill in this art who would naturally have turned first to the more convention anticholesterol agents such as statins. Moreover, the teaching of documents D1 to D3 involving drug delivery to the body appears quite different to that of the present application wherein enzymes are immobilised on a stent and achieve their technical effects in situ. Thus, it does not appear that the teaching of any of documents D1 to D3 would have inevitably led to the presently claimed invention.

Hence the subject matter of Claims 1 to 10 is inventive and meets the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.